Case 4:16-mc-80206-KAW Document 9 Filed 01/12/17 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JENNIFER STISA GRANICK (SBN 168423) jennifer@law.stanford.edu RIANA PFEFFERKORN (SBN 266817) riana@law.stanford.edu 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, California 94305-8610 Telephone: (650) 736-8675 Facsimile: (650) 725-4086 Pro Se Petitioners	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLAND DIVISION	
11 12	IN RE: PETITION OF JENNIFER GRANICK AND RIANA PFEFFERKORN TO UNSEAL TECHNICAL-ASSISTANCE ORDERS AND	MISC. CASE NO.: 16-mc-80206-KAW DECLARATION OF RIANA PFEFFERKORN IN SUPPORT OF
131415	MATERIALS MATERIALS	MOTION TO UNSEAL DOCKET SHEETS AND PUBLICLY DOCKET COURT RECORDS
16		_
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		

I, Riana Pfefferkorn, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law before this Court. I am the Cryptography Fellow at the Center for Internet and Society at Stanford Law School ("CIS"), and am one of the *pro se* Petitioners in the above-captioned matter. The following facts are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. On September 16 and December 19, 2016, I spoke by telephone with Mr. Mark Jenkins, Deputy Clerk of this Court. During the September 16 call, I asked Mr. Jenkins some questions regarding how this Court keeps track of search warrants and surveillance applications and orders, such as wiretap or trap-and-trace orders. During the December 19 call, I asked Mr. Jenkins some follow-up questions relating to our prior conversation.
- 3. On information and belief based on those conversations, in this District, warrants and surveillance orders, and the government's applications for them, are typically filed on paper, under seal, and are maintained in paper form in the Clerk's office. These matters are electronically docketed in CM/ECF, but the docket sheets are sealed and are not available to the public on CM/ECF. The sealed files in these matters are rarely unsealed. When they are unsealed, the Clerk's office makes them available to the public via in-person viewing at the Clerk's office, but not via CM/ECF. There is some question about whether the Clerk's office is able to honor requests to review unsealed surveillance materials, in-person or otherwise.
- 4. On information and belief, the paper records of matters involving warrants and surveillance orders are located in the courthouse for the division where the matter was initially filed.
- 5. On information and belief, this Court generally assigns the "criminal miscellaneous" ("xr") case type to applications for warrants and surveillance orders.
- 6. On information and belief, this Court maintains the docket sheets of criminal-miscellaneous cases in CM/ECF, but those docket sheets are sealed as long as the underlying cases are sealed, and they not made accessible to the public unless the case is unsealed.

- 7. On January 8, 2017, I used this District's CM/ECF system, located at ecf.cand.uscourts.gov, to generate reports of this District's criminal-miscellaneous cases by year. To generate these reports, I went to the "Reports" section in CM/ECF and clicked on the "Criminal Cases" link, which took me to the "Criminal Cases Report" screen. I selected the "criminal miscellaneous" case type, checked both "Pending" and "Disposed" under Count Status, selected both "Pending" and "Terminated" defendants, entered a date range of January 1 through December 31 for each respective year for which I generated a report, left all other report options in their default settings, then clicked "Run Report."
- 8. To count how many cases listed in each report are sealed, on the date I generated each respective report, I copied the report into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, and then used Excel's "find and replace" function to count the number of cases. I then subtracted that number (unsealed cases) from the total number, which the CM/ECF system displays at the end of the report, to arrive at the number of sealed cases.
- 9. A total of 476 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2006. Of these, 454 (95.4%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 10. A total of 576 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2007. Of these, 550 (95.5%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 11. A total of 629 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2008. Of these, 600 (95.4%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 12. A total of 857 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2009. Of these, 834 (97.3%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 13. A total of 1033 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2010. Of these, 1004 (97.2%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 14. A total of 1043 criminal-miscellaneous cases were recorded in CM/ECF for 2011. Of these, 973 (93.3%) were sealed as of the date I generated the report, January 8, 2017.
- 15. For the foregoing six years' worth of reports, there were a grand total of 4614 criminal-miscellaneous cases recorded in CM/ECF, of which 4415 (95.7%) were sealed as of

January 8, 2017.

- 16. Attached as Exhibit A is a list of the criminal-miscellaneous cases in the aforementioned 2006 to 2011 annual reports that were unsealed as of January 10, 2017. I generated this report on January 10, 2017, by following the procedure described in Paragraph 9 above, with a date range of January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2011. This yielded a 333-page report listing 4614 cases. I deleted 307 pages that listed only cases still under seal, but preserved the final such page, as it also shows the criteria I used in running the report.
- 17. When the records in surveillance matters are unsealed, they are not uniformly entered into CM/ECF. Sometimes the records are publicly available in CM/ECF after a Surveillance Matter is unsealed, and sometimes they are not.
- 18. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the docket sheet for Northern District case number 08-xr-90361, generated in CM/ECF on January 8, 2017. This is an example of a surveillance matter whose unsealed records are available in CM/ECF.
- 19. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the docket sheet for Northern District case number 11-xr-90242, generated in CM/ECF on January 10, 2017. This is another example of a surveillance matter whose unsealed records are available in CM/ECF.
- 20. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the docket sheet for Northern District case number 10-xr-91067, generated in CM/ECF on January 8, 2017. This is an example of a surveillance matter whose unsealed records are not available in CM/ECF, save for the Court's order unsealing the matter.
- 21. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the docket sheet for Northern District case number 11-xr-90409, generated in CM/ECF on January 10, 2017. This is another example of a surveillance matter whose unsealed records are not available in CM/ECF, save for the Court's order unsealing the matter.

//

//

//

1	22. On January 11, 2017, my co-Petitioner Jennifer Granick and I called during		
2	business hours and left voicemail for Assistant U.S. Attorney Kirstin Ault notifying her that we		
3	would be filing the present motion.		
4			
5	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is		
6	true and correct. Executed at Palo Alto, California on January 12, 2017.		
7	2-111		
8	R-MI		
9	Riana Pfefferkorn		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			